

## Certain Classes of Multivalent Functions Defined by a Fractional Differential Operator <sup>1</sup>

Tariq O. Salim

### Abstract

In this paper, the fractional differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}$  is introduced and applied to define the classes  $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  of  $\beta$ -uniformly convex and starlike  $p$ -valent functions and  $p$ -valent functions with negative coefficients, respectively. Several results concerning coefficient estimates and extreme points mainly for the class  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  are obtained. Also results for a family of class preserving integral operators are considered.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45, 26A33.

**Key words and phrases:** Multivalent functions, fractional differential operator, coefficient estimates, extreme points, integral operators.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$  of the form

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N})$$

that are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the open unit disk  $E = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$

Let  $\mathcal{T}(p)$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  consisting of functions that are analytic and  $p$ -valent  $f(z)$  expressed in the form

$$(2) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (a_{k+p} \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

The fractional calculus are defined as follows (e.g.,[9,14])

---

<sup>1</sup>Received 14 April, 2010

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 30 May, 2011

**Definition 1** The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha$  is defined for the function  $f$  by

$$(3) \quad D_z^{-\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (\alpha > 0)$$

where the function  $f(z)$  is analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{\alpha-1}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real when  $z-t > 0$ .

**Definition 2** The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  is defined for the function  $f$  by

$$(4) \quad D_z^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z (z-t)^{-\alpha} f(t) dt \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$$

where the function  $f(z)$  is analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{-\alpha}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real when  $z-t > 0$ .

**Definition 3** Under the hypothesis of Definition 1.2, the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $(n+\alpha)$  is defined for the function  $f$  by

$$(5) \quad D_z^{n+\alpha} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\alpha f(z) \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

The Gauss's hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$  is defined for  $z \in E$  by the series expression

$$(6) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$$

where  $(\sigma)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of Gamma functions, by

$$(7) \quad (\sigma)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma+k)}{\Gamma(\sigma)} = \begin{cases} 1 & , (k=0, \sigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \sigma(\sigma+1)\dots(\sigma+n-1) & , (k=n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}) \end{cases}$$

Let the function  $\varphi_p(a, c; z)$  be defined as

$$(8) \quad \varphi_p(a, c; z) = z {}_2F_1(1, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{k+p}, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; z \in E$$

Now, let us define the operator  $\Omega_p^\alpha : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$  as

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_p^\alpha f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(1+p-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+p)} z^\alpha D_z^\alpha f(z) \quad , \quad \alpha \neq p+1, p+2, \dots \\ &= z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+1)_k}{(p-\alpha+1)_k} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \varphi_p(p + 1, p - \alpha + 1; z) * f(z)$$

where  $f * g$  is the well known Hadamard product (or convolution) written as

$$(10) \quad (f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$$

for any two functions  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}$  and  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ .

Now we introduce the linear fractional differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$  as follows

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{0,0} f(z) &= f(z) \\ \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{1,\alpha} f(z) &= (1 - \lambda) \Omega_p^\alpha f(z) + \frac{\lambda z}{p} (\Omega_p^\alpha f(z))' = \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^\alpha f(z) \\ \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{2,\alpha} f(z) &= \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^\alpha (\mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{1,\alpha} f(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) &= \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^\alpha (\mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n-1,\alpha} f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ .

If  $f$  is given by (1), then making use of (9) and (11) we conclude that

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

where

$$(13) \quad \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) = \left[ \frac{(p+1)_k}{(p-\alpha+1)_k} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda k}{p} \right) \right]^n$$

when  $p = 1$ , we get the fractional differential operator introduced and studied by Al-Oboudi and Al-Amoudi [3,4], when  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  we get Al-Oboudi differential operator [2], when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $p = 1$  the Sălăgean operator is obtained [12], on setting  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $n = 1$  and  $p = 1$  we obtain the Owa-Srivastava fractional differential operator [10] and when  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , we get the differential operator studied by Eker and Şeker [5].

Note that  $\mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)$  can easily be written in terms of convolution as

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{D}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) = G(z) * f(z)$$

where  $G(z) = \underbrace{\{(\varphi_p(p+1, p-\alpha+1; z) * g_{p,\lambda}(z)) * \dots * (\varphi_p(p+1, p-\alpha+1; z) * g_{p,\lambda}(z))\}}_{n\text{-times}}$

and  $g_{p,\lambda}(z) = \frac{z^p - (1 - \frac{\lambda}{p})z^{p+1}}{(1-z)^2}$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$  is given by (2), then

$$(15) \quad D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

where  $\psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)$  is as given by (13).

If  $(f \otimes g)(z)$  is the modified Hadamard product written as

$$(16) \quad (f \otimes g)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (a_{k+p}, b_{k+p} \geq 0)$$

for the functions  $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}$  and  $g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{T}(p)$ . Then  $D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)$  can be written as

$$(17) \quad D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) = W(z) \otimes f(z)$$

where

$$W(z) = \underbrace{\{(\omega_p(p+1, p-\alpha+1; z) \otimes h_{p,\lambda}(z)) \otimes \dots \otimes (\omega_p(p+1, p-\alpha+1; z) \otimes h_{p,\lambda}(z))\}}_{n\text{-times}}$$

$$\omega_p(p+1, p-\alpha+1; z) = 2z^p - \varphi_p(p+1, p-\alpha+1; z) \text{ and } h_{p,\lambda}(z) = 2z^p - g_{p,\lambda}(z).$$

Consider the subclass  $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  consisting of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  and satisfying

$$(18) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - \delta \right\} \geq \beta \left| \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - p \right|$$

where

$$(19) \quad z \in E, \beta \geq 0, -p \leq \delta \leq p, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \lambda \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Define the subclass  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  of functions  $f \in \mathcal{T}(p)$  as

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta) = \mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta) \cap \mathcal{T}(p)$$

It is interesting to note that the classes  $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  extends to the classes of starlike, convex,  $\beta$ -uniformly starlike and  $\beta$ -uniformly convex for suitable choice of the parameters  $n, \alpha, p, \lambda, \delta$  and  $\beta$ . For instant

(i) For  $n = 1, \lambda = 0$  and  $p = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_{1,0}^{1,\alpha}(\delta, \beta) \equiv \beta - \mathcal{SB}_\alpha(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{1,0}^{1,\alpha}(\delta, \beta) \equiv \beta - \mathcal{TSB}_\alpha(\delta)$  which are the classes introduced and studied by Akbarally and

Darus [1].

(ii) For  $\alpha = 0$  and  $n = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{0,0}(\delta, \beta) \equiv \mathcal{UST}(\delta, \beta, p)$  and for  $n = 1, \lambda = 0$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_{p,0}^{1,1}(\delta, \beta) \equiv \mathcal{UCV}(\delta, \beta, p)$  where  $\mathcal{UST}(\delta, \beta, p)$  and  $\mathcal{UCV}(\delta, \beta, p)$  are the subclasses of  $\beta$ -uniformly starlike and  $\beta$ -uniformly convex functions studied recently by Khairnar and More [7]. Several other classes studied by several authors can be derived from the classes  $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ , see e.g. [6,11,13].

The purpose of the present investigation is to investigate results concerning coefficient estimates and extreme points for  $p$ -valent functions  $f(z)$  of negative coefficients belonging to the class  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ . Also, we obtain some results for the integral operators known as Komatu and Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operators.

## 2 Coefficient Estimates

**Theorem 1** A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  defined by (1) is in  $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  if

$$(21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) |a_{k+p}| \leq p - \delta$$

with the limits of parameters given in (19) and  $\psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)$  given by (13).

**Proof.** It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - p \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - \delta \right\} \leq p - \delta$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left| \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - p \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - \delta \right\} \\ & \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z \left( D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z) \right)'}{D_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha} f(z)} - p \right| \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) |a_{k+p}|}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) |a_{k+p}|} \end{aligned}$$

This expression is bounded by  $(p - \delta)$  if

$$(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) |a_{k+p}| \leq (p - \delta) - (p - \delta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) |a_{k+p}|$$

which directly yields the inequality (21). □

Now we state and prove the necessary and sufficient condition for  $f(z)$  to belong to the class  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 2** A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$  defined by (2) is in  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(22) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} \leq p - \delta$$

with the limits of parameters given in (19) and  $\psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)$  given by (13).

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 1, we need only to prove the sufficient part. Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  and  $z$  be real. Then by the relations (15) and (20), we get

$$\frac{p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k + p) \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} z^k} - \delta \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) a_{k+p} z^k} \right|$$

Allowing  $z \rightarrow 1$  along the real axis, we get the desired inequality. The result is sharp for

$$(23) \quad f(z) = z^p - \frac{p - \delta}{[k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)} z^{k+p}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** Let the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$  defined by (2) be in the class  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ . Then

$$(24) \quad a_{k+p} \leq \frac{p - \delta}{[k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

with equality for the function  $f(z)$  given by (23) and the limits of parameters are given in (19) and  $\psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)$  is given by (13).

### 3 Extreme Points for $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$

**Theorem 3** Let  $f_0(z) = z^p$  and

$$(25) \quad f_k(z) = z^p - \frac{(p - \delta)}{[k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)} z^{k+p}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then  $f \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$(26) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z)$$

where  $\eta_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1$ , and  $\psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)$  is given by (13).

**Proof.** Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k f_k(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \frac{(p-\delta)}{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)} z^{k+p}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(p-\delta)} \frac{(p-\delta)}{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)} \eta_k \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k = (1 - \eta_0) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ , thus by (24)

$$a_{k+p} \leq \frac{p-\delta}{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}$$

Setting  $\eta_k = \frac{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(p-\delta)} a_{k+p}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\eta_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k$ , then  $f(z)$  can be expressed in the form (26), and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *The extreme points of  $\mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  are the functions  $f_0(z) = z^p$  and  $f_k(z) = z^p - \frac{(p-\delta)}{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)} z^{k+p}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)$  is given by (13).*

## 4 Family of Class Preserving Integral Operators

In this section, we discuss some class preserving integral operators. We recall here the Komatu operator [8] defined by

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{H}(z) = \mathcal{P}_{c,p}^d f(z) = \frac{(c+p)^d}{\Gamma(d)z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{d-1} f(t) dt$$

where  $d > 0, c > -p$  and  $z \in E$ .

Also we recall the generalized Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [7] defined by

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{I}(z) = \mathcal{Q}_{c,p}^d f(z) = \binom{d+c+p-1}{c+p-1} \frac{d}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{d-1} f(t) dt$$

**Theorem 4** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ .*

**Proof.** Let the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  be defined by (2), then it can be easily verified that

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{H}(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c+p}{c+k+p} \right)^d a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (a_{k+p} \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

Now  $\mathcal{H}(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  if

$$(30) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(p-\delta)} \left( \frac{c+p}{c+k+p} \right)^d a_{k+p} \leq 1$$

Now, by Theorem 2,  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(p-\delta)} a_{k+p} \leq 1$$

Since  $\frac{c+p}{c+k+p} \leq 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (30) holds true. Therefore  $\mathcal{H}(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 5** Let  $d > 0, c > -p$  and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}(z)$  defined by (27) is  $p$ -valent in the disk  $|z| < R_1$ , where

$$(31) \quad R_1 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{p[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta](c+k+p)^d \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(k+p)(c+p)^d(p-\delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

**Proof.** In order to prove the assertion, it is enough to show that

$$(32) \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p$$

Now, in view of (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| &= \left| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+p) \left( \frac{c+p}{c+k+p} \right)^d a_{k+p} z^k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+p) \left( \frac{c+p}{c+k+p} \right)^d a_{k+p} |z|^k \end{aligned}$$

This expression is bounded by  $p$  if

$$(33) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p)}{p} \left( \frac{c+p}{c+k+p} \right)^d a_{k+p} |z|^k \leq 1$$

Given that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ , so in view of Theorem 2, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1+\beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(p-\delta)} a_{k+p} \leq 1$$



Thus, (33) holds if

$$(k + p) \left( \frac{c + p}{c + k + p} \right)^d a_{k+p} |z|^k \leq \frac{p[k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(p - \delta)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

that is

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{p[k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta](c + k + p)^d \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p)}{(k + p)(c + p)^d (p - \delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

The result follows by setting  $|z| = R_1$ . □

Following similar steps as in the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we can state the following two theorems concerning the generalized Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator  $\mathcal{I}(z)$ .

**Theorem 6** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ , then  $\mathcal{I}(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ .*

**Theorem 7** *Let  $d > 0, c > -p$  and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{p,\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \beta)$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(z)$  defined by (28) is  $p$ -valent in the disk  $|z| < R_2$ , where*

$$(34) \quad R_2 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{p[k(1 + \beta) + p - \delta] \psi_{k,n}(\alpha, \lambda, p) (p + c + d)_k}{(k + p)((p - \delta) (p + c)_k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

## References

- [1] A. Akbarally, M. Darus, *Applications of fractional calculus to  $k$ -uniformly starlike and  $k$ -uniformly convex functions of order  $\alpha$* , Tamkang J. Math., 38(2), 2007, 103-109.
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sc., 2004(27), 2004, 1429-1436.
- [3] F. M. Al-Oboudi, K. A. Al-Amoudi, *On classes of analytic functions related to conic domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 339(1), 2008, 655-667.
- [4] F. M. Al-Oboudi, K. A. Al-Amoudi, *Subordination results for classes of analytic functions related to conic domains defined by a fractional operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 354, 2009, 412-420.
- [5] S. S. Eker, B. Şeker, *On a class of multivalent functions defined by Salagean operator*, General Math., 15(2-3), 2007, 154-163.
- [6] A. W. Goodman, *On uniformly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 155, 1991, 364-370.

- [7] S. M. Khairnar, M. More, *On a subclass of multivalent  $\beta$ - uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by a linear operator*, IAENG Int. J. Appl. Math., Doi: 39:3, IJAM3-39-06-2009.
- [8] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N. Magesh, *An application of second order differential inequalities based on linear and integral operators*, Int. J. Math. Sci. Engg. Appl., 2(1), 2008, 105-114.
- [9] S. Owa, *On distortion theorems I*, Kyungpook Math J., 18(1), 1978, 53-59.
- [10] S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent functions and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad J. Math., 39(5), 1987, 1057-1077.
- [11] F. Rønning, *Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118, 1993, 189-196.
- [12] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, in: Complex Analysis, Proc. 5th Rom.-Finn. Semin., Part I, Bucharest 1981, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1013, 1983, 362-372.
- [13] J. M. Shenan, *On a subclass of  $\beta$ -uniformly convex functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator*, J. Fundamental Sci., 3, 2007, 177-191.
- [14] S. Ishikawa, H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, Eds., *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*, Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and Its Applications, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1989.

**Tariq O. Salim**

Al-Azhar University-Gaza  
Department of Mathematics  
Gaza, P.O.Box 1277, Palestine  
e-mail: t.salim@alazhar.edu.ps